On the ampleness of positive CR line bundles over Levi-flat manifolds

A thesis presented by

Masanori Adachi

to Graduate School of Mathematics for the degree of Doctor of Mathematical Sciences

> Nagoya University Nagoya, Japan

> > January 2012

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Takeo Ohsawa, who invited me to this wonderful research area, and taught me how to play with mathematics and how to face difficulties. I am really indebted for his guidance, and for sharing his deep insight.

I would also thank the members of our research group, especially Professor Hideyuki Ishi, for frank discussions, their advice and encouragement. I am grateful to Professor Ryoichi Kobayashi and Professor Kazuko Matsumoto for their helpful comments for improvement of this thesis.

I owe a lot to mathematicians' community in Japan, especially those who are working on complex analysis and/or topology, for maintaining a stimulating environment and for their financial support. Part of this work was done during my visit at Institute Fourier during "Ecole d'été 2012: Feuilletages, courbes pseudoholomorphes, applications", and at Tongji University during "Nagoya-Tongji joint workshop on Bergman kernel". I am grateful to both institutes for their hospitality and support.

I acknowledge support from our math department: financial support, excellent library, kind secretaries and relaxed atmosphere, which are quite helpful to accomplish this thesis. I also acknowledge several divisions of Nagoya University for their various forms of support. I am supported by a scholarship from JASSO that permits me to continue my research.

Many thanks are going to my friends, though I can't list all of their names, for their invaluable support. Special thanks are going to my informal mentors Professor Satoshi Fukutani and Professor Mineo Kumazawa for their constant encouragements.

Last but not least, I wish to thank my family for their warm support.

Contents

1	Introduct	ion	1
	1.1 Backg	ground	 1
	1.2 Main	results	 4
	1.3 Notes		 6
2	Prelimina	ries	7
	2.1 Almos	st complex structure	 7
	2.2 Levi-f	latness in terms of foliation	 8
	2.3 Levi-f	latness in terms of CR geometry	 9
	2.4 Line b	oundles	 10
3	Holomorp	ohic disc bundles in flat ruled surfaces	13
	3.1 Holom	norphic disc bundles	 13
	3.2 Classi	fication	 14
	3.3 Pseud	loconvexity	 15
	3.4 Takeu	ichi 1-complete case	 18
4	A Bochne	er-Hartogs type extension theorem	23
	4.1 A Boo	chner-Hartogs type extension theorem	 23
5	Conclusio	m	27
	5.1 Proof	of Main Theorem	 27
	5.2 Furthe	er questions	 27

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

We study function theory on compact Levi-flat CR 3-manifolds. A Levi-flat CR manifold is defined to be a triple (M, \mathcal{F}, J) where M is a smooth manifold, \mathcal{F} is a real codimension one smooth foliation of M (the Levi foliation of M), and J is a smoothly varying leafwise complex structure of \mathcal{F} . In short, a Levi-flat CR 3-manifold is a 3-manifold foliated by Riemann surfaces. The object of our study is CR functions, that is, complex-valued functions defined on open sets of M which are holomorphic along the leaves of \mathcal{F} with respect to J. We remark that we do not assume any transverse regularity of such functions a priori.

A fundamental problem, which has been a driving force of function theory, is the existence problem of holomorphic functions with certain prescribed data, such as the Riemann-Roch theorem on compact Riemann surfaces. In this thesis, we will discuss the existence problem of a sort of CR meromorphic functions on compact Levi-flat CR 3-manifolds.

Let us start with a toy example to illustrate our situation. Denote the unit circle by $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ and consider a kind of Kronecker foliation $M_{\alpha,\beta} := \mathbb{C} \times S^1 / \sim$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and the equivalence relation \sim is generated by $(z, \zeta) \sim (z + 1, \zeta \exp 2\pi i \alpha) \sim (z + i, \zeta \exp 2\pi i \beta)$. This is a Levi-flat CR 3manifold whose structure is induced from the product foliation $\{\mathbb{C} \times \{t\}\}_{t \in S^1}$ of $\mathbb{C} \times S^1$, and has a circle bundle structure over a complex torus $T = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus i\mathbb{Z}$ by the first projection.

The simplest case $M_{0,0}$ is a direct product $M_{0,0} \simeq T \times S^1$. All the leaves

are compact and isomorphic to T. Since holomorphic functions on compact Riemann surfaces are constant, CR functions on $M_{0,0}$ are reduced to functions on S^1 . The situation is similar for the case where both $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Function theory on these spaces is just equivalent to that on compact Riemann surfaces, no new mathematics.

However, the situation changes for the case where α or $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Their leaves become open Riemann surfaces, and $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ carries so many CR functions, which can vary on each leaf. We quote a theorem of Inaba [19, Theorem 1] here, which states that every continuous CR function on a compact Leviflat CR manifold is constant along leaves of the Levi foliation. Since our foliation has dense leaves, it follows that continuous CR functions on $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ are constant functions.

This conclusion is similar to compact Riemann surfaces case. Although materials are different between Levi-flat CR 3-manifolds and Riemann surfaces, the complexity of the Levi foliation (existence of dense leaves) and the transverse regularity of CR functions (continuity) allow us to conclude this analogous result, our starting point. It seems to be naive, but certainly we face a phenomenon in which the dynamics of the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} affect the existence of CR functions with respect to J.

We will go along this way to study CR meromorphic functions on compact Levi-flat CR 3-manifold as alternative generalization of function theory on compact Riemann surfaces other than that on compact complex manifolds.

Before we go further, we review the principal subclass of Levi-flat CR manifolds, Levi-flat real hypersurfaces. A smooth real hypersurfaces M in a complex manifold is said to be Levi-flat if it locally separates its ambient space in such a way that M is Levi pseudoconvex from its both sides.

Originally Levi-flat CR manifolds first arose as Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in the study of the *Levi problem*, which asks the characterization of a domain of holomorphy D by a differential-geometric nature, Levi pseudoconvexity, of its boundary $M = \partial D$. A domain of holomorphy means a domain D in a complex manifold that possesses a holomorphic function defined exactly on D, i.e., a holomorphic function that cannot be analytically continued beyond M. It has been known to be affirmative for domains in \mathbb{C}^n (Oka [28], Bremermann [4], Norguet [21]), \mathbb{CP}^n (Fujita [14], Takeuchi [32]), and Grassmanians (Ueda [33]). On the other hand, Grauert [16] pointed out that some Levi-flat real hypersurfaces do give counterexamples of the Levi problem. Actually, the toy example $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ (α or $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$) above bounds such

1.1. BACKGROUND

a counterexample $D_{\alpha,\beta} := \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{D} / \sim$. We see that $D_{\alpha,\beta}$ consists of Levi-flat real hypersurfaces $rM_{\alpha,\beta} := \mathbb{C} \times rS^1 / \sim (0 < r < 1)$ and a complex torus $T \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} / \sim$. Thus it carries no non-constant holomorphic function.

Such counterexamples have drawn attention, however, not so many examples have been known for *compact* Levi-flat real hypersurfaces. This situation leads us to study the classification problem of compact Levi-flat real hypersurfaces. An apparent observation is that we cannot find such compact real hypersurface in \mathbb{C}^n since there is a strictly plurisubharmonic function $\sum_{i=1,\dots,n} |z_i|^2$ and the maximum principle forbids the situation. The same reasoning implies that no compact Levi-flat real hypersurface exists in Stein manifolds.

Some progress have been made for compact Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds of dim ≥ 3 . For example, we have a theorem of Ohsawa [25]: for any real-analytic compact Levi-flat real hypersurface M in a compact Kähler manifold X of dim ≥ 3 , the complement $X \setminus M$ cannot be Stein. This theorem implies a few classification results on compact Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in Kähler manifolds of dim ≥ 3 , e.g., Lins Neto's non-existence theorem of real analytic Levi-flat real hypersurface in \mathbb{CP}^n for $n \geq 3$ ([20]).

On the other hand, for compact Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in complex surfaces, no classification result has yet been known, and only a few restrictions on their Levi foliations have been known. Based on the theory of Ueda [34], Barrett and Inaba [3, Theorem 3] observed that their leaf holonomy along torus leaves cannot be trivial to infinite order; in particular, it implies that the standard Reeb foliation on S^3 cannot be realized as a Levi-flat real hypersurface in any complex surface. If we restrict ourselves to the case where the ambient manifold is a Kähler surface X, we can see that M must be taut; in particular, it cannot contain a Reeb component. A difficulty to the classification problem is that the complements of Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in complex surfaces are often Stein in contrast to higher dimensional case, and techniques used in higher dimension do not work. A famous still open conjecture is the non-existence of compact \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in \mathbb{CP}^2 .

Another motivation of our study is to approach this problem through function theory on Levi-flat real hypersurfaces in complex surfaces, the first step of which is to understand how the existence of CR functions is affected by the structure of the neighborhood of the Levi-flat real hypersurface in its ambient space.

1.2 Main results

The theorem of Inaba suggests us to admit "poles" for CR functions on a compact Levi-flat CR 3-manifold M in order to discuss globally defined ones. To be precise, we will consider global CR sections of \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR line bundles over M.

In the theory of compact Riemann surfaces, or that of compact complex manifolds, Kodaira's embedding theorem is a typical qualitative result concerning on global holomorphic sections of line bundles. It states that if one has a positive holomorphic line bundle L over a compact complex manifold, then L is ample, that is, the manifold can be embedded into complex projective space of some high enough dimension by using ratio of global holomorphic sections of $L^{\otimes n}$ with n sufficiently large.

As a Levi-flat counterpart, Ohsawa and Sibony proved the following Kodaira type embedding theorem.

Theorem ([24, Theorem 3], refined in [26]). Let M be a compact \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold equipped with a \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR line bundle L. Suppose L is positive along leaves, i.e., there exists a \mathcal{C}^{∞} hermitian metric on L such that its curvature along leaves is everywhere positive definite. Then, for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, L is \mathcal{C}^{κ} -ample, i.e., there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that one can find global CR sections s_0, \dots, s_N of $L^{\otimes n}$, of class \mathcal{C}^{κ} , for any $n \geq n_0$, such that the ratio $(s_0 : \dots : s_N)$ embeds M into \mathbb{CP}^N .

We can make the parameter $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$, which expresses transverse regularity of CR sections, arbitrarily large although we need to take the power of the bundle n_0 sufficiently large. A natural question is whether or not we can improve the regularity to $\kappa = \infty$ with finite n_0 , that is to say,

Main Question. Is a positive-along-leaves CR line bundle C^{∞} -ample?

The answer is no, in general, as the following case-study tells us.

Main Theorem. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface, and \mathcal{D} a holomorphic disc bundle over Σ . Denote its associated compact \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR 3-manifold by $M = \partial \mathcal{D}$ in its associated flat ruled surface $\pi \colon X \to \Sigma$. Take a positive line bundle L over Σ . Suppose \mathcal{D} has a unique non \pm holomorphic harmonic section, then $\pi^*L|M$ is positive along leaves, but never \mathcal{C}^{∞} ample.

It is easily checked the point that the pull-back bundle $\pi^*L|M$ is positive along leaves. Thus, this theorem states non \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ampleness of such CR line bundles.

The assumption is fulfilled for the following explicit example (Example 3.2.2): Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of genus ≥ 2 . Fix an identification of a universal covering $\widetilde{\Sigma} \simeq \mathbb{D}$ and regard $\pi_1(\Sigma) \simeq \Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ as the Fuchsian representation of Σ . Take a non-trivial quasiconformal deformation of Γ , say $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. Set $\mathcal{D} \coloneqq \widetilde{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{D}/(z,\zeta) \sim (\gamma z, \rho(\gamma)\zeta)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Remark 1.2.1. The Levi-flat CR 3-manifold in Main Theorem is of \mathcal{C}^{ω} . Thus, Main Question is negative even if we assume that M is of \mathcal{C}^{ω} .

Here we give a sketch of the proof of Main Theorem.

There are two ingredients. One is "very strong" pseudoconvexity, which we call *Takeuchi 1-completeness*, of the complement $X \setminus M$ (Proposition 3.4.1). We will construct a certain plurisubharmonic exhaustion function on $X \setminus M$ of logarithmic growth near the boundary M by using the harmonic section of \mathcal{D} in the assumption. We will take a viewpoint from which we can interpret this pseudoconvexity of the complement $X \setminus M$ as expressing dynamical complexity of the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} . See Example 2.4.7 and Remark 3.3.6 for further discussion on this viewpoint, which are based on the works of Barrett [2], Eliashberg-Thurston [12], and Brunella [6].

The other is a Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem (Theorem 4.1.1). We remark that it particularly implies that, under certain control on complexity of the Levi foliation (Takeuchi 1-completeness of the complement $X \setminus M$) and allowed poles (extendability of CR line bundle L over M to the ambient space X), we can find $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any \mathcal{C}^{κ} CR section of Lautomatically earns transverse \mathcal{C}^{∞} regularity (Corollary 4.1.5).

Combining them, in our Main Theorem case, we understand that discussions on sufficiently differentiable CR sections of $\pi^*L|M$ become equivalent to those on holomorphic sections of π^*L . On the other hand, we can easily see that π^*L is not ample; in particular, their holomorphic sections cannot separate points in the same fiber. In this way, non \mathcal{C}^{∞} -ampleness of $\pi^*L|M$ follows.

1.3 Notes

Another research direction of the analogue of Kodaira's embedding theorem is the problem concerning on projective embedding of compact laminations. We can find similar phenomenon in the work of Fornæss and Wold [13, Theorem 5.1] where they study compact C^1 -smooth hyperbolic laminations. We also refer the reader to the works of Gromov [17, pp.401–402], Ghys [15, §7] and Deroin [9].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Chapter 2, we introduce basic notions on Levi-flat CR manifolds. In Chapter 3, we recall and refine a classification result of holomorphic disc bundles with an emphasis on Takeuchi 1-completeness of certain holomorphic disc bundles. In Chapter 4, we state a variant of Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem for CR sections. We give a simple proof for the reader's convenience. In Chapter 5, we prove Main Theorem and pose some further questions.

Chapter 2

Preliminaries

We explain the notion of Levi-flat CR manifolds. For simplicity, we discuss under the assumption that manifolds and bundles have at least C^{∞} -smoothness.

2.1 Almost complex structure

We briefly recall almost complex structure and its relation with complex structure as a preparation for the subsequent sections.

Definition 2.1.1 (almost complex structure). Let X be a real 2*n*-dimensional C^{∞} manifold. An *almost complex structure* on X is $J \in \text{End}(TX)$ satisfying $J^2 = -\text{Id}$.

By using the J, we can define an action $\mathbb{C} \times TX \ni (a+bi, v) \mapsto (a+bJ)v \in TX$, which enables us to regard TX as a complex vector bundle of rank_{\mathbb{C}} = n.

We can easily see that J is diagonalizable on the complexified tangent bundle $\mathbb{C} \otimes TX$ with eigenvalues $\pm i$. Denote the eigenvalue decomposition by $\mathbb{C} \otimes TX = T^{1,0}X \oplus T^{0,1}X$ where $T^{1,0}X := \operatorname{Ker}(J - i\operatorname{Id})$ (the holomorphic tangent bundle of X) and $T^{0,1}X := \overline{T^{1,0}X} = \operatorname{Ker}(J + i\operatorname{Id})$ (the anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of X). We identify TX with $T^{1,0}X$ as complex vector bundle by $TX \ni v \mapsto (v - iJv)/2 \in T^{1,0}X$.

Any complex manifold is equipped with its canonical almost complex structure given by

$$J(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad J(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

where $(z_j = x_j + iy_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ is any holomorphic local coordinate. On the other hand, not every almost complex structure comes from a complex structure. The following Newlander-Nirenberg theorem gives a criterion:

Theorem 2.1.2 (Newlander-Nirenberg). An almost complex structure J comes from a complex structure if and only if smooth sections of the holomorphic tangent bundle with respect to J are closed under the Lie bracket.

Here, the Lie bracket means the complex linear extension of the usual Lie bracket of vector fields.

2.2 Levi-flatness in terms of foliation

We give a precise definition of Levi-flat CR manifold. First recall the definition of foliation:

Definition 2.2.1 (foliation). Let M be a real m-dimensional \mathcal{C}^{∞} manifold. A \mathcal{C}^{∞} foliation on M of real codimension d is a decomposition of M into arcwise-connected injectively immersed submanifolds $\mathcal{F} = \{N_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of real codimension d in such a way that for any $p \in M$ we can find a chart $\varphi \colon U \to \mathbb{R}^{m-d} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ around p so that for any arcwise-connected component P of $N_{\alpha} \cap U$ $(\alpha \in \Lambda)$ has a unique $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $P = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{m-d} \times \{t\})$.

We call N_{α} a *leaf*, φ a *foliated chart*, and P a *plaque*. By abuse of notation, we do not distinguish the immersion of a leaf $\iota_{\alpha} \colon N_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow M$ with its image. However, if we say *leafwise*, we consider something not only to be restricted on each leaf but also to be discussed in the *leaf topology*, i.e., in the topology of N_{α} , the domain of ι_{α} , not in the induced topology of $\iota_{\alpha}(N_{\alpha}) \subset M$.

We collect vectors tangent to leaves, and form them into a subbundle $T\mathcal{F}$ of TM, whose local triviality is assured by the requirement in the definition of foliation. We call $T\mathcal{F}$ the *tangent bundle* of \mathcal{F} . The following Frobenius theorem tells us when we can recover a foliation by a given subbundle:

Theorem 2.2.2 (Frobenius). Suppose a subbundle D of TM is given. There is a foliation \mathcal{F} whose tangent bundle $T\mathcal{F}$ equals to the given subbundle D. if and only if smooth sections of D are closed under the Lie bracket,

Using these terminologies and the view of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, we give the following definition. **Definition 2.2.3** (Levi-flat CR manifold). A \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold is a triple (M, \mathcal{F}, J) where M is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} manifold, \mathcal{F} is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} foliation on M of real codimension one (the Levi foliation of M), and J is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} section of $\text{End}(T\mathcal{F})$ that induces a complex structure on each leaf, i.e., $J^2 = -\text{Id}$ and smooth sections of $T^{1,0} := \text{Ker}(J - i\text{Id}) \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes T\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ are closed under the Lie bracket.

The simplest example is $M = \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ where the foliation is given by its leaves $\{\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{t\}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and J is induced from the standard complex structure of \mathbb{C}^{n-1} . This provides the local structure of Levi-flat CR manifolds under the requirement for foliated charts to be leafwise holomorphic with respect to J. In other words, any \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold can be constructed by gluing some open sets of $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ together using leafwise holomorphic \mathcal{C}^{∞} maps.

Our object of study can be defined as follows:

Definition 2.2.4 (CR function). We say that a function $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *CR* function if it is leafwise holomorphic.

2.3 Levi-flatness in terms of CR geometry

We will investigate Levi-flat CR manifolds embedded in complex manifolds, especially Levi-flat real hypersurfaces. The following reformulation in terms of CR geometry is suitable for this purpose.

Let us start with the definition of general CR manifold.

Definition 2.3.1 (CR manifold). A *CR manifold* (of hypersurface type) is a pair $(M, T^{1,0})$ where M is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} manifold of dimension 2n - 1, and $T^{1,0}$ is a subbundle of $\mathbb{C} \otimes TM$ of rank_{\mathbb{C}} n - 1. Denote $T^{0,1} := \overline{T^{1,0}}$. We require that $T^{1,0} \cap T^{0,1} = 0$ and smooth sections of $T^{1,0}$ are closed under the Lie bracket.

It models a real hypersurface M of an n-dimensional complex manifold (X, J_X) , for such M we can put $T^{1,0} := T^{1,0}X \cap \mathbb{C}TM \simeq$ (the maximal J_X -invariant subspace of TM). Moreover, if the real hypersurface M is given by a \mathcal{C}^{∞} defining function r, namely, $r: M \subset U \to \mathbb{R}$ with $M = \{z \in U \mid r(z) = 0\}$ and $dr \neq 0$ on M, we have $T^{1,0} = \operatorname{Ker}\partial r \subset T^{1,0}X$.

Now we can redefine

Definition 2.3.2 (Levi-flat CR manifold). A \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR manifold $(M, T^{1,0})$ such that smooth sections of $T^{1,0} + \overline{T^{1,0}}$ are closed under the Lie bracket.

Its foliation \mathcal{F} is recovered by integrating the distribution $(T^{1,0} + \overline{T^{1,0}}) \cap TM$ thanks to the Frobenius theorem, and its leafwise complex structure J is recovered by the CR structure $T^{1,0}$ thanks to the requirement for CR structure and the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem. In the case that M is located in a complex manifold X with defining function r, M is Levi-flat if and only if its Levi form $i\partial\overline{\partial}r|T^{1,0} = 0$ as a quadratic form. This is the classical definition of Levi-flat real hypersurface.

We can also redefine our functions:

Definition 2.3.3 (CR function). We say that a function $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$ is a *CR* function if it is annihilated by vectors of $T^{0,1}$.

If $M = \{r = 0\} \subset X$ and f is of \mathcal{C}^1 , it is equivalent to say that $\overline{\partial} \tilde{f}$ is proportional to $\overline{\partial} r$ on M where \tilde{f} is any \mathcal{C}^1 extension of f on a neighborhood of M. In particular, the restriction of any holomorphic function defined near M is CR.

2.4 Line bundles

We clarify the definition of curvature for CR line bundles over Levi-flat CR manifolds, and remark an important example of line bundle.

Definition 2.4.1 (CR line bundle). A \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR line bundle over a \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold M is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} complex vector bundle of rank_C 1 that possesses a trivialization cover whose transition functions are CR.

A straightforward example of CR line bundle is the restriction of a holomorphic line bundle on a Levi-flat real hypersurface.

Now let h be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} hermitian metric on L. In the case of holomorphic line bundles over complex manifolds, we can induce the Chern connection and its curvature from the metric h. But in the case of CR line bundles over Levi-flat CR manifolds, since our complex structure is defined only for the leaf direction, we cannot define such canonical connection and curvature. But still, we can define the curvature along leaves canonically. **Proposition 2.4.2** (curvature along leaves). Let D be any connection on L that agrees with the Chern connection on (L|N,h) along any leaf N. Then, Θ_h the curvature 2-form of the connection D restricted along $T\mathcal{F}$ is independent of the choice of D.

The reason is that we have the local expression $\Theta_h = -\partial_z \overline{\partial}_z \log h(z,t)$ where $(z,t): M \supset U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is any foliated chart. This notion of curvature justifies the following terminology.

Definition 2.4.3 (positive along leaves). We say a CR line bundle L to be *positive along leaves* if there exists a hermitian metric h on L whose curvature along leaves determines a positive definite quadratic form everywhere.

For compact Levi-flat CR 3-manifolds, the existence of a positive-alongleaves bundle imposes the following restriction on the topology of its Levi foliation.

Proposition 2.4.4. A compact C^{∞} Levi-flat CR 3-manifold (M, \mathcal{F}, J) possesses a C^{∞} CR line bundle which is positive along leaves if and only if the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} is taut.

Definition 2.4.5. A \mathcal{C}^{∞} foliation \mathcal{F} of real codimension one on a \mathcal{C}^{∞} manifold M is *taut* if there exists a \mathcal{C}^1 closed transversal, i.e., a \mathcal{C}^1 embedded circle in M which transversely intersects every leaf of \mathcal{F} .

We will use the following geometric characterization of tautness to prove Proposition 2.4.4.

Theorem 2.4.6 (Rummler [29], Sullivan [31]). A C^{∞} foliation \mathcal{F} of real codimension one on a closed C^{∞} manifold M is taut if and only if there exists a C^2 Riemannian metric on M with respect to which every leaf of \mathcal{F} is minimal.

Proof of Proposition 2.4.4. Suppose that M possesses a positive-along-leaves bundle. Ohsawa-Sibony's embedding theorem implies that M can be C^2 CR embedded in a complex projective space. We put a Riemannian metric on M by restricting the Fubini-Study metric. Then, any leaf of \mathcal{F} is minimal since any complex submanifold in a Kähler manifold is minimal with respect to its Kähler metric.

Conversely, suppose that M is taut. By smoothing a closed transversal, we have a \mathcal{C}^{∞} one, say T. Regarding the intersection of T with the leaves of \mathcal{F} as a divisor, we can construct a positive-along-leaves \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR line bundle. \Box

We close this chapter with an important example of line bundle.

Example 2.4.7. Let M be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} Levi-flat CR manifold. We can define the normal bundle $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ of the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} by $N_{\mathcal{F}} := \mathbb{C} \otimes TM/T\mathcal{F}$. It is easy to check that $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a CR line bundle as follows:

Proof. We can trivialize it by $\partial/\partial t$ on a foliated chart $(z,t): U \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. If we have two intersecting foliated charts, say (z,t), (z',t'), we know that t' depends only on t not on z. Thus, the transition function of the normal bundle $\partial t'/\partial t$ is constant function in z, especially CR.

When the Levi-flat CR manifold is realized as a Levi-flat real hypersurface M in a complex manifold X, we have another definition of the normal bundle: define the *complex normal bundle* of M by a quotient of CR vector bundles $N_{M}^{1,0} := T^{1,0}X/T^{1,0}$. It follows easily that the two normal bundles $N_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $N_{M}^{1,0}$ are isomorphic as CR line bundle.

An important feature of this normal bundle is that it simultaneously approximates both transverse structure of the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} and neighborhood of M in X, which feature permits us to take the viewpoint: dynamical property of the Levi foliation \mathcal{F} is reflected on pseudoconvexity of $X \setminus M$. For this direction, we refer the reader to the work of Brunella [6].

Chapter 3

Holomorphic disc bundles in flat ruled surfaces

We recall a classification result on holomorphic disc bundles, with which a standard example of Levi-flat CR 3-manifolds associate, and supplement preceding results about pseudoconvexity of these spaces.

3.1 Holomorphic disc bundles

We begin by recalling a construction of holomorphic disc bundles. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface. A holomorphic fiber bundle over Σ with fiber $\mathbb{D} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\zeta| < 1\}$ is called a *holomorphic disc bundle* over Σ . It can be easily seen that holomorphic trivializations form a flat trivializing cover, i.e., all of the transition functions are locally constant.

Hence, any holomorphic disc bundle \mathcal{D} can be obtained by the suspension construction: we can find a group homomorphism $\rho: \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$, called a holonomy homomorphism, giving a bundle isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D} \simeq \Sigma \times_{\rho} \mathbb{D}$$

:= $\widetilde{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{D}/(z, \zeta) \sim (\gamma z, \rho(\gamma)\zeta) \text{ for } \gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$

where $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ is a universal covering of Σ . We denote this disc bundle by \mathcal{D}_{ρ} .

The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ of biholomorphisms of \mathbb{D} consists of Möbius transformations preserving \mathbb{D} , acting on the Riemann sphere \mathbb{CP}^1 and fixing the unit circle $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Thus, it follows that a holomorphic disc bundle is canonically embedded in its associated flat ruled surface, say $\pi: X_{\rho} := \Sigma \times_{\rho} \mathbb{CP}^{1} \to \Sigma$, and the boundary of \mathcal{D}_{ρ} in X_{ρ} , a flat circle bundle, becomes a compact \mathcal{C}^{ω} Levi-flat CR 3-manifold, say $M_{\rho} := \Sigma \times_{\rho} \partial \mathbb{D}$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \to X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}_{\rho}}$, $(z, \zeta) \mapsto (z, 1/\overline{\zeta})$ is an anti-biholomorphism, which we call the *conjugation*.

3.2 Classification

Now we state a classification result of holomorphic disc bundles by means of harmonic sections:

Theorem 3.2.1 ([10], [11, Proposition 1.1]). Let \mathcal{D} be a holomorphic disc bundle over a compact Riemann surface and M its associated Levi-flat CR 3-manifold. Then, one of the following cases occurs:

- (i) \mathcal{D} admits a unique non-holomorphic harmonic section.
- (ii) \mathcal{D} admits a unique locally non-constant holomorphic section.
- *(iii)* M admits one or two locally constant section(s).
- (iv) \mathcal{D} admits a locally constant section.

Here a section is said to be *harmonic* if it is lifted to a ρ -equivariant harmonic map $\tilde{h}: \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{D}$ where \mathbb{D} is equipped with the Poincaré metric, and a section is said to be *locally constant* if it is locally constant in the (flat) trivializing coordinates.

Example 3.2.2. We describe examples of each case in terms of holonomy homomorphism.

- (i) Let Σ be of genus ≥ 2 . Fix an identification $\widetilde{\Sigma} \simeq \mathbb{D}$ and regard $\pi_1(\Sigma) \simeq \Gamma < \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ as the Fuchsian representation of Σ . Take a non-trivial quasiconformal deformation of Γ , say $\rho \colon \Gamma \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. Then \mathcal{D}_{ρ} is of the case (i). The unique harmonic section corresponds to the graph of the unique harmonic diffeomorphism $\Sigma = \mathbb{D}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{D}/\rho(\Gamma)$.
- (ii) Let Σ and Γ as above, and $\rho = \text{Id} \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. Then, \mathcal{D}_{ρ} is of the case (ii). Its associated holomorphic section is obtained by the quotient of the diagonal set $\Delta \subset \widetilde{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

- (iii) Let ρ be a homomorphism from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to an abelian subgroup of Aut(\mathbb{D}) that consists of parabolic (resp. hyperbolic) elements with common fixed point(s) on $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Then, \mathcal{D}_{ρ} is of the case (iii). The locally constant section(s) correspond(s) to the suspension of the fixed point(s).
- (iv) Let ρ be a homomorphism from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to an abelian subgroup of Aut(\mathbb{D}) that consists of elliptic elements with common fixed point in \mathbb{D} , which is just isomorphic to the group of rotations U(1). Then, \mathcal{D}_{ρ} is of the case (iv). The suspension of the fixed point gives a locally constant section.

For the cases (i) and (ii), we described only the cases where associated flat circle bundles M_{ρ} attain the maximal Euler number in the Milnor-Wood inequality. The Euler number of a flat circle bundle M_{ρ} over Σ , say $\chi(M_{\rho})$, satisfies the Milnor-Wood inequality: $|\chi(M_{\rho})| \leq \max\{0, 2\text{genus}(\Sigma) - 2\}$. The component where $\chi(M_{\rho}) = 2\text{genus}(\Sigma) - 2$ is naturally identified with the Teichmüller space of Σ via quasiconformal deformation of Fuchsian representation.

For the cases (iii) and (iv), the examples above exhaust the cases, respectively. They all belong to the component where $\chi(M_{\rho}) = 0$.

3.3 Pseudoconvexity

We prepare several definitions in order to express pseudoconvexity of the domain bounded by a Levi-flat CR manifold, on which dynamics of the Levi foliation is reflected.

First recall some terminologies on potential function.

Definition 3.3.1. Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n and $\psi: X \to [-\infty, \infty)$. We say that ψ is

- plurisubharmonic if it is upper semicontinuous and its restriction $\psi|C$ to any holomorphic curve $C \hookrightarrow X$ is subharmonic.
- an exhaustion function (resp. a bounded exhaustion function) if $\sup_X \psi = \infty$ (resp. $\sup_X \psi < \infty$) and for any $c \in (-\infty, \sup_X \psi)$ the sublevel set $\{z \in X \mid \psi(z) < c\}$ is relatively compact in X.

Suppose that $\psi: X \to (-\infty, \infty)$ and ψ is of \mathcal{C}^2 . Define its *Levi form* as the quadratic form determined by $i\partial \overline{\partial} \psi$. We say that ψ is

- q-convex if its Levi from has at least (n q + 1) positive eigenvalues everywhere.
- weakly q-convex if its Levi from has at least (n q + 1) nonnegative eigenvalues everywhere.
- *strictly plurisubharmonic* if its Levi form is positive definite everywhere, that is, 1-convex.

Let us define several notions of pseudoconvexity, which express what kind of potential functions a domain carries.

Definition 3.3.2. Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n. We say that X is

- pseudoconvex if X possesses a continuous plurisubharmonic exhaustion function.
- q-convex (resp. weakly q-convex) if X possesses a \mathcal{C}^{∞} exhaustion function which is q-convex (resp. weakly q-convex) outside a compact set of X.
- q-complete (resp. weakly q-complete) if X possesses a \mathcal{C}^{∞} q-convex (resp. weakly q-convex) exhaustion function.
- hyperconvex if X possesses a \mathcal{C}^{∞} strictly plurisubharmonic bounded exhaustion function.

Note that 1-completeness is equivalent to being Stein.

The classical pseudoconvexities above do not ask growth order of the potential function along the boundary, or boundary behavior of eigenvalues of its Levi form. We follow the following definition in [11].

Definition 3.3.3 (Takeuchi q-convex space). Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n and D a relatively compact domain in X with C^2 boundary. D is said to be Takeuchi q-convex if there exists a C^2 defining function r of ∂D defined on a neighborhood of D with $D = \{z \mid r(z) < 0\}$ such that, with respect to a hermitian metric on X, at least n - q + 1 eigenvalues of the Levi form of $-\log(-r)$ are greater than 1 outside a compact set of D. Potential functions having the particular form $-\log(-r)$ have their origin in Oka [27], where the Levi problem was solved for domains in \mathbb{C}^2 by using the potential function $-\log$ (the Euclidean distance to its boundary).

To return to our case, holomorphic disc bundles, known facts on pseudoconvexity of them are summarized as follows:

- In all the cases, \mathcal{D} is weakly 1-complete ([10, Theorem 1]).
- In the cases (i)–(iii), \mathcal{D} is 1-convex. It is particularly 1-complete, i.e., Stein in the cases (i) and (iii) ([2, Theorem 2]).
- In the cases (i) and (ii), \mathcal{D} is Takeuchi 1-convex ([11, Proposition 1.6]¹).

We will give a supplemental result for the case (i) using the following notion.

Definition 3.3.4 (Takeuchi *q*-complete space). Let X be a complex manifold of dimension n and D a relatively compact domain in X with C^2 boundary. D is said to be *Takeuchi q-complete* if there exists a C^2 defining function r of ∂D defined on a neighborhood of D with $D = \{z \mid r(z) < 0\}$ such that, with respect to a hermitian metric on X, at least n - q + 1 eigenvalues of the Levi form of $-\log(-r)$ are greater than 1 entire on D.

This notion originates in the work of Takeuchi [32] where he showed any proper locally pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{CP}^n acquires this property for q = 1. Although it has already had other names, $\log \delta$ -pseudoconvexity in [5], and the strong Oka condition in [18], we name it again in consideration of consistency with the terms in Definition 3.3.2 and 3.3.3.

Takeuchi 1-completeness not only implies that the domain is Stein, but also implies that it behaves as if it is in complex Euclidean space:

Theorem 3.3.5 ([22, Theorem 1.1]). Let D be a Takeuchi 1-complete domain with defining function r. Then, $-\partial\overline{\partial}\log(-r)$ gives a complete Kähler metric on D, and it follows that $-(-r)^{t_0}$ with sufficiently small $t_0 > 0$ becomes a strictly plurisubharmonic bounded exhaustion function on D, i.e., D is hyperconvex.

¹Its proof seems to contain some errors.

Remark 3.3.6. From the viewpoint of confoliation [12, Corollary 1.1.10], we can translate a question on various strong pseudoconvexity of the complement of a Levi-flat real hypersurface into one on approximation of a foliation by contact structures. For example, suppose a compact Levi-flat real hypersurface M has a Takeuchi 1-convex complement with defining function r. For small positive ε , the level sets $\{r = -\varepsilon\}$ are diffeomorphic to M and possess contact structures induced from the strictly pseudoconvex CR structures. Thus, the family of the level sets defines a "uniform" contact deformation of the Levi foliation. Here "uniform" means that convergence to the foliation is exactly the same order entire on M.

3.4 Takeuchi 1-complete case

We give the following supplemental result, which is the main technical point of this thesis, on pseudoconvexity of holomorphic disc bundles for the case (i) in Theorem 3.2.1.

Proposition 3.4.1. Let \mathcal{D} be a holomorphic disc bundle over a compact Riemann surface Σ with a uniquely determined non-holomorphic harmonic section h. Then, \mathcal{D} is Takeuchi 1-complete in its associated ruled surface X.

Proof. Fix a finite open covering $\{U_{\nu}\}$ of Σ giving trivializations of \mathcal{D} . Set $\delta = \max_{\nu} \sup_{U_{\nu}} |h| < 1$ where the value of h is taken with respect to the trivializing coordinate over each U_{ν} . It suffices to find a defining function r of $\partial \mathcal{D}$ so that the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian of $-\log(-r)$ in each trivializing coordinate $(z, \zeta): \pi^{-1}(U_{\nu}) \to \mathbb{C}^2$ are bounded from below by a positive constant, since we can easily find a hermitian metric on X that is comparable to $i(dzd\overline{z} + d\zeta d\overline{\zeta})$ by usual "partition of unity" argument.

We will find the desired r in the form $r = r_0 e^{-\psi}$ where r_0 is the defining function of $\partial \mathcal{D}$ used in [10], and $\psi \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ will be determined later. Recall the original defining function

$$r_0(z,\zeta) := \left| \frac{\zeta - h(z)}{1 - \overline{h(z)}\zeta} \right|^2 - 1$$

where (z, ζ) is any trivializing coordinate. It is clearly well-defined since the term inside the modulus is just a Möbius transformation that maps h(z) to 0 and remaining choices of the fiber coordinate are only up to rotations.

3.4. TAKEUCHI 1-COMPLETE CASE

Take one of the trivializations, say $(z,\zeta) \colon \pi^{-1}(U_{\nu}) \to \mathbb{C}^2$. The Levi form is

$$\begin{split} i\partial\partial(-\log(-r)) &= i\partial\overline{\partial}\left(\psi - \log(1-|\zeta|^2) - \log(1-|h|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\log(1-\overline{h}\zeta)\right) \\ &= \left(\psi_{z\overline{z}} + (1-|\zeta|^2)(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2) + |\zeta - h|^2|h_z - e^{2i\theta(z,\zeta)}\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}|^2\right)\frac{idz \wedge d\overline{z}}{|1-\overline{h}\zeta|^2(1-|h|^2)} \\ &- h_z\frac{idz \wedge d\overline{\zeta}}{(1-h\overline{\zeta})^2} - \overline{h_z}\frac{id\zeta \wedge d\overline{z}}{(1-\overline{h}\zeta)^2} + \frac{id\zeta \wedge d\overline{\zeta}}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \end{split}$$

where $\theta(z,\zeta) := \arg(\zeta - h)/(1 - \overline{h}\zeta)$ and all the values on h and ψ are taken at z. We can check it by direct computation in three steps:

- (i) Fix $z_0 \in U$ in the trivialization. Choose a temporal trivializing coordinate (z, ζ^{\natural}) with $h^{\natural}(z_0) = 0$.
- (ii) Compute the Levi form on the fiber \mathcal{D}_{z_0} in (z, ζ^{\natural}) coordinate. Note that the harmonicity of h yields $h_{z\overline{z}}(z_0) = 0$.
- (iii) Pull back the form to (z, ζ) coordinate.

Now we are going to estimate the eigenvalues of the complex Hessian. The trace and determinant of the complex Hessian of $-\log(-r)$ are estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{trace} &= \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}} + (1-|\zeta|^2)(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2) + |\zeta - h|^2|h_z - e^{2i\theta(z,\zeta)}\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}|^2}{|1 - \overline{h}\zeta|^2(1 - |h|^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}} + (1-|\zeta|^2)(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2) + |\zeta - h|^2|h_z - e^{2i\theta(z,\zeta)}\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}|^2}{(1-\delta)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}} + (1-|\zeta|^2 + 2|\zeta - h|^2)(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2)}{(1-\delta)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}} + 8(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2)}{(1-\delta)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \sup_U \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}} + 8(|h_z|^2 + |h_{\overline{z}}|^2)}{(1-\delta)^3} =: \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + C. \end{aligned}$$

$$\det = \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}}}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{1}{(1-|\zeta|^2)^2} \left(\frac{|\zeta-h|^2|h_z - e^{2i\theta(z,\zeta)}\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}|^2}{|1-\overline{h}\zeta|^2(1-|h|^2)^2}\right)$$

$$\begin{split} &+ \frac{1}{1 - |\zeta|^2} \left(\frac{|h_{\overline{z}}|^2}{|1 - \overline{h}\zeta|^2 (1 - |h|^2)} + \frac{|\zeta - h|^2 |h_z|^2}{|1 - \overline{h}\zeta|^4 (1 - |h|^2)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}}}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{|\zeta - h|^2 |h_z - e^{2i\theta(z,\zeta)}\overline{h_{\overline{z}}}|^2}{4(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{|h_{\overline{z}}|^2}{4(1 - |\zeta|^2)} + \frac{|\zeta - h|^2 |h_z|^2}{16(1 - |\zeta|^2)} \\ &\geq \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}}}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{|\zeta - h|^2 (|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|)^2 + (1 - |\zeta|^2) |h_{\overline{z}}|^2}{4(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}}}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{(|\zeta - h|^2 + 1 - |\zeta|^2) \min\{(|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|)^2, |h_{\overline{z}}|^2\}}{4(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{\psi_{z\overline{z}}}{(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2} + \frac{(1 - \delta)^2 \min\{(|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|)^2, |h_{\overline{z}}|^2\}}{4(1 - |\zeta|^2)^2}. \end{split}$$

We will set ψ to have sufficiently small range so that the trace is positive, in which situation the smaller eigenvalue λ of the complex Hessian of $-\log(-r)$ is estimated as

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \frac{\mathrm{trace}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{trace}}{2} - \mathrm{det}} \geq \frac{\mathrm{det}}{\mathrm{trace}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1+C} \left(\psi_{z\overline{z}} + \frac{(1-\delta)^2}{4} \min\{|h_{\overline{z}}|^2, (|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|)^2\} \right). \end{split}$$

Note that this estimate does not depend on ζ , and is sharp in the sense that the smaller eigenvalue of the complex Hessian of $-\log(-r_0)$, which corresponds to the second term in the estimate, actually equals to 0 at (z, 0)if $h_{\overline{z}}(z) = 0$ and tends to 0 near some points of $\partial \mathcal{D}_z$ if $|h_z(z)| = |h_{\overline{z}}(z)|$, which facts can be deduced from the explicit formula of the Levi form. This situation leads us to modify r_0 with ψ strictly subharmonic on such locus in Σ .

From Lemma 3.4.2 below, we can find a non-empty relatively compact set $V \subset \Sigma$ on which both $|h_{\overline{z}}|$ and $|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|$ never vanish. Removing a relatively compact $W \subset V$ from Σ , we obtain an open Riemann surface $\Sigma \setminus \overline{W}$, which carries a strictly subharmonic exhaustion function ψ_0 . We extend $\psi_0|\Sigma \setminus V$ to Σ so as to vanish on W, say ψ_1 . We take $0 < c \ll 1$ for $\psi := c\psi_1$ to satisfy, in the all of the trivializing coordinates, $\psi_{z\overline{z}}(1-\delta)^{-3} > -1$, and

$$\psi_{z\overline{z}} + \frac{(1-\delta)^2}{4} \min\{|h_{\overline{z}}|^2, (|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}|)^2\} > 0 \text{ on } V.$$

Using this ψ , we have obtained the desired defining function r.

20

Lemma 3.4.2. Let \mathcal{D}, Σ , and h as in Proposition 3.4.1. Then,

- (i) The zero set of $h_{\overline{z}}$ is finite.
- (ii) The set $\{|h_z| |h_{\overline{z}}| \neq 0\}$ is open dense in Σ .

Proof. (i) We have well-defined forms $|h_z|(1-|h|^2)^{-1}|dz|$, $|h_{\overline{z}}(1-|h|^2)^{-1}|dz|$ and Hopf $(h) := h_z \overline{h_{\overline{z}}}(1-|h|^2)^{-2} dz^2$ on Σ . The harmonicity of h is equivalent to holomorphicity of Hopf(h), whose zero set consists of 4g - 4 points. (Note that the assumption implies that $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ is non-abelian, thus genus of $\Sigma > 1$.) Therefore the zero set of $h_{\overline{z}}$ is also finite.

(ii) Suppose $\{|h_z| - |h_{\overline{z}}| = 0\} = \{\operatorname{rank} dh < 2\}$ contains a non-empty open set in Σ . From a theorem of Sampson [30, Theorem 3], the image of the lift $\tilde{h}: \tilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{D}$ becomes a point, or a geodesic arc. The former case is impossible since the point is fixed by ρ and it is of the case (iv) in Theorem 3.2.1. The latter case is also impossible since the end points of the geodesic arc are fixed by ρ and it is of the case (iii) in Theorem 3.2.1. Thus, the claim is proved.

Question 1. What about the case (iii)? We know an example in which \mathcal{D}_{ρ} is Stein but not Takeuchi 1-complete ([22, Theorem 1.2]).

Chapter 4

A Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem

4.1 A Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem

We will state a Bochner-Hartogs type extension theorem for CR sections of finite regularity, which can be obtained by established procedures as in [23], [5] and [7]. Here we give a simple proof for the reader's convenience. For the standard techniques used in this chapter, we refer the reader to the "OpenContent Book" of Demailly [8].

Theorem 4.1.1. Let X be a connected compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$, L a holomorphic line bundle over X, and M a \mathcal{C}^{∞} compact Levi-flat real hypersurface of X which splits X into two Takeuchi 1-complete domains $D \sqcup D'$. Then, there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any \mathcal{C}^{κ} CR section of L|M extends to a holomorphic section of L.

Proof. We set

$$N_{0} := \min \left\{ N \in \mathbb{N} \middle| \begin{array}{c} i\Theta_{h_{0}} - Ni\partial\overline{\partial}(-\log(-r)) < 0 \quad \text{on } D, \\ i\Theta_{h_{0}} - Ni\partial\overline{\partial}(-\log(-r')) < 0 \quad \text{on } D', \\ h_{0}: \text{ hermitian metric of } L, \\ r \text{ (resp. } r'): \text{ defining function of } M \\ \text{ which makes } D \text{ (resp. } D') \text{ Takeuchi 1-complete} \end{array} \right\}$$

The assumption yields $N_0 < \infty$. Put $\kappa := \lceil n + 1 + N_0/2 \rceil \geq 4$. Take h_0 , r, and r' to attain the minimum, and fix an arbitrary hermitian metric g_0 of X.

We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{g_0,h_0}$ (resp. $|\cdot|_{g_0,h_0}$) the fiber metric (resp. norm) of $L \otimes \bigwedge \mathbb{C}TX^*$ determined by g_0 and h_0 , and write $dvol_{g_0}$ for the volume form on X determined by g_0 . Integration with respect to these metrics is denoted by

$$\langle\!\langle \omega,\eta
angle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,D} \coloneqq \int_D \langle \omega,\eta
angle_{g_0,h_0} d\mathrm{vol}_{g_0}$$

and write $\|\omega\|_{g_0,h_0,D}^2 := \langle \omega, \omega \rangle_{g_0,h_0,D}$. We also use the following notation for function spaces.

- $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}_{(p,q)}(X,L)$: the space of L-valued $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(p,q)$ -forms over X.
- $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}_{0,(p,q)}(D,L)$: the space of *L*-valued compactly supported $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa}(p,q)$ -forms over *D*.
- $L^2_{(p,q)}(D, L; g_0, h_0)$: the space of *L*-valued measurable (p, q)-forms over D whose $\|\cdot\|_{g_0,h_0,D}$ norm is finite.

We will omit the subscript (p,q) when (p,q) = (0,0).

The proof is separated into three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1.2. Let s be a \mathcal{C}^{κ} CR section of L|M. Then we can extend s to $\tilde{s} \in \mathcal{C}^2(X, L)$ so that

$$|\overline{\partial}\tilde{s}|_0 := |\overline{\partial}\tilde{s}|_{g_0,h_0} = O(r^{\kappa-2}) \quad \text{along } M \tag{4.1}$$

where r is any \mathcal{C}^{∞} defining function of M.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.2. Firstly, we extend s to a \mathcal{C}^{κ} section of L, still denoted by s, using a \mathcal{C}^{∞} collaring $M \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to X$ of M and a transversal cut-off function with enough small support. Since s|M is CR, we can find a $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa-1}$ section of L|M, say α_1 , such that $\overline{\partial}s = \alpha_1\overline{\partial}r$ on M. We extend α_1 to a $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa-1}$ section of L. Put $s_1 := s - \alpha_1 r$. Then, $|\overline{\partial}s_1|_0 = |(\overline{\partial}s - \alpha_1\overline{\partial}r) - \overline{\partial}\alpha_1 r|_0 = O(r)$ because $\overline{\partial}s_1$ vanishes on M and is of class $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa-2}$.

Suppose we have inductively constructed a $\mathcal{C}^{\kappa-\ell}$ extension s_{ℓ} of s with $s_{\ell} = s - \alpha_1 r - \alpha_2 r^2 / 2 - \cdots - \alpha_{\ell} r^{\ell} / \ell$ and $|\overline{\partial}s_{\ell}|_0 = O(r^{\ell})$. Write $\overline{\partial}s_{\ell} = \beta_{\ell} r^{\ell}$ with $\beta_{\ell} \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa-(\ell+1)}_{(0,1)}(X,L)$. We obtain $0 = \overline{\partial}^2 s_{\ell} = \overline{\partial}\beta_{\ell}r + \overline{\partial}r \wedge \beta_{\ell}$. Thus, we can find $\alpha_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{C}^{\kappa-(\ell+1)}(X,L)$ such that $\beta_{\ell} = \alpha_{\ell+1}\overline{\partial}r$ on M. Putting $s_{\ell+1} :=$

4.1. A BOCHNER-HARTOGS TYPE EXTENSION THEOREM

$$\begin{split} s_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell+1} r^{\ell+1} / (\ell+1) \text{ gives } |\overline{\partial}s_{\ell+1}|_0 &= |(\beta_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell+1}\overline{\partial}r)r^{\ell} - \overline{\partial}\alpha_{\ell+1}r^{\ell+1}|_0 = O(r^{\ell+1}) \\ \text{while } \beta_{\ell} - \alpha_{\ell+1}r^{\ell} \text{ is differentiable, which holds if } \kappa - (\ell+1) \geq 1. \\ \text{Letting } \tilde{s} &:= s_{\kappa-2} \text{ completes the proof.} \end{split}$$

We perform a correction to \tilde{s} to obtain the desired holomorphic extension. Once we solve the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation $\overline{\partial}u = \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}$ on X in the distribution sense with the condition u|M = 0, we obtain the desired extension $\tilde{s} - u$ since holomorphic functions are characterized as weak solutions of the Cauchy-Riemann equation.

By Theorem 3.3.5, $i\partial\partial(-\log(-r))$ defines a complete Kähler metric g on D, which blows up in $O(r^{-2})$ along M. Consider the hermitian metric $h = h_0 r^{-N_0}$ on L. The condition (4.1) on \tilde{s} implies

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{\partial}\tilde{s}\|_{g,h}^2 &:= \|\overline{\partial}\tilde{s}\|_{g,h,D}^2 \\ &= \int_D |\overline{\partial}\tilde{s}|_{g,h}^2 d\operatorname{vol}_g = \int_D O(r^{2(\kappa-2)})O(r^2)O(r^{-N_0})O(r^{-2n}) < \infty, \end{split}$$

i.e., $\overline{\partial}\tilde{s} \in L^2_{(0,1)}(D,L;g,h)$. We can solve $\overline{\partial}u = \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}$ on D thanks to the following L^2 cohomology vanishing theorem.

Lemma 4.1.3. For any $v \in L^2_{(0,1)}(D,L;g,h)$ with $\overline{\partial}v = 0$, there exists a solution $u \in L^2(D,L;g,h)$ of $\overline{\partial}u = v$ in the sense that there exists a sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_0(D,L)$ such that $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(D,L;g,h)$ and $\overline{\partial}u_n \to v$ in $L^2_{(0,1)}(D,L;g,h)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1.3. By the standard L^2 method of Andreotti-Vesentini [1], the conclusion follows from the following estimate

$$\|\overline{\partial}u\|_{g,h}^2 + \|\overline{\partial}_{g,h}^*u\|_{g,h}^2 \gtrsim \|u\|_{g,h}^2$$

for $u \in C^{\infty}_{0,(0,1)}(D,L)$. Here $\overline{\partial}^*_{g,h}$ denotes the formal adjoint of the operator $\overline{\partial}: L^2(D,L;g,h) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(D,L;g,h)$. Note that we have used the completeness of g to obtain the solution not only in the sense of distribution but also in the sense above.

By the Nakano inequality, we achieve the estimate as follows:

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{\partial}u\|_{g,h}^2 + \|\overline{\partial}_{g,h}^*u\|_{g,h}^2 \gtrsim \langle\!\langle [i\Theta_h, \Lambda]u, u \rangle\!\rangle_{g,h} &= -\langle\!\langle i\Theta_h u, Lu \rangle\!\rangle_{g,h} \\ \gtrsim -\min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{sum of the } (n-1) \text{ eigenvalues of } i\Theta_h \\ \text{with respect to } g \end{array} \right\} \|u\|_{g,h}^2 \end{split}$$

The eigenvalues of $i\Theta_h$ with respect to g tend to $-N_0$ near M. It follows that the RHS $\gtrsim ||u||_{a,h}^2$.

Performing the same procedure on D', we obtain a section u of $L|D \sqcup D'$ with $\overline{\partial}u = \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}$ on $D \sqcup D'$ in the sense above. Consider the zero extension of u on X, still denoted by u. The following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.1.

Lemma 4.1.4. $\overline{\partial}u = \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}$ on X in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\infty}(D \sqcup D', L) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, L)$ be the approximation of u found in Lemma 4.1.3. Since $L^2(D, L; g_0, h_0) \hookrightarrow L^2(D, L; g, h)$ is continuous, we have $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(D \sqcup D', L; g_0, h_0) \simeq L^2(X, L; g_0, \underline{h_0})$.

Take a test function $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(X, L)$. Denote by $\overline{\partial}_0^*$ the formal adjoint of the operator $\overline{\partial}: L^2(X, L; g_0, h_0) \to L^2_{(0,1)}(X, L; g_0, h_0)$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \langle\!\langle \overline{\partial}u - \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}, \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,X} &= \langle\!\langle u, \overline{\partial}_0^* \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,X} - \langle\!\langle \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}, \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,X} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle\!\langle u_n, \overline{\partial}_0^* \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,X} - \langle\!\langle \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}, \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,X} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle\!\langle u_n, \overline{\partial}_0^* \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,D \sqcup D'} - \langle\!\langle \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}, \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,D \sqcup D'} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle\!\langle \overline{\partial}u_n - \overline{\partial}\tilde{s}, \phi \rangle\!\rangle_{g_0,h_0,D \sqcup D'} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

It completes the proof.

n	-	-	٦	
Ľ			1	
L			1	
L				

Corollary 4.1.5. Suppose X, L, M, and κ as in Theorem 4.1.1. Then, all of the \mathcal{C}^{κ} CR sections of L|M are automatically of class \mathcal{C}^{∞} , and they form a finite dimensional vector space.

We will use the following form of Theorem 4.1.1 in the proof of Main Theorem.

Corollary 4.1.6. Suppose X, L and M as in Theorem 4.1.1. Then, any \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR section of L|M extends to a holomorphic section of L.

Chapter 5

Conclusion

5.1 Proof of Main Theorem

Proof of Main Theorem. From Proposition 3.4.1, \mathcal{D} is Takeuchi 1-complete. The harmonic section of $X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is obtained by conjugating the harmonic section of \mathcal{D} . Thus, $X \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is also Takeuchi 1-complete. Hence, Corollary 4.1.6 implies that for any $n \geq 1$, all of the \mathcal{C}^{∞} CR sections of $(\pi^*L|M)^{\otimes n}$ extend to holomorphic sections of $(\pi^*L)^{\otimes n}$.

On the other hand, $\pi^* \colon H^0(\Sigma, L^{\otimes n}) \to H^0(X, (\pi^*L)^{\otimes n})$ gives an isomorphism. Since we can give a trivializing cover of $(\pi^*L)^{\otimes n}$ by pulling back that of L, and the sections should be constant along any fiber $\pi^{-1}(p) \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$ in these trivializations. Hence it is impossible for the sections in $H^0(X, (\pi^*L)^{\otimes n})$ to separate points in the same fiber for any n. Therefore, we cannot make a projective embedding by any ratio of those sections. \Box

5.2 Further questions

We conclude this paper with further questions.

Question 2. Can we prove Main Theorem intrinsically, i.e., without looking the natural Stein filling?

Question 3. Let M be a compact Levi-flat CR manifold, and L a CR line bundle over M. We define the threshold regularity $\kappa(M, L)$ to be the minimal $\kappa \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, if exists, so that \mathcal{C}^{κ} CR sections of L form a finite dimensional vector space. In the situation illustrated in Main Theorem, the proof of Theorem 4.1.1 indicates that $\kappa(M, (\pi^*L|M)^{\otimes n})$ is well-defined and $\kappa(M, (\pi^*L|M)^{\otimes n}) = O(n)$ as $n \to \infty$. On the other hand, Ohsawa-Sibony's projective embedding theorem implies that $\kappa(M, (\pi^*L|M)^{\otimes n}) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Can we read any dynamical property of the Levi foliation from the asymptotic behavior of the $\kappa(M, (\pi^*L|M)^{\otimes n})$?

Bibliography

- Aldo Andreotti and Edoardo Vesentini, Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1965), no. 25, 81–130. MR 0175148 (30 #5333)
- David E. Barrett, Global convexity properties of some families of three-dimensional compact Levi-flat hypersurfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 332 (1992), no. 1, 459–474, DOI 10.2307/2154042. MR 1055805 (93c:32026)
- [3] David E. Barrett and Takashi Inaba, On the topology of compact smooth threedimensional Levi-flat hypersurfaces, J. Geom. Anal. 2 (1992), no. 6, 489–497, DOI 10.1007/BF02921574. MR 1189041 (94e:32034)
- [4] Hans J. Bremermann, Über die Äquivalenz der pseudokonvexen Gebiete und der Holomorphiegebiete im Raum von n komplexen Veränderlichen, Math. Ann. 128 (1954), 63–91 (German). MR 0071088 (17,82a)
- Judith Brinkschulte, The ∂-problem with support conditions on some weakly pseudoconvex domains, Ark. Mat. 42 (2004), no. 2, 259–282, DOI 10.1007/BF02385479. MR 2101387 (2005f:32064)
- [6] Marco Brunella, On the dynamics of codimension one holomorphic foliations with ample normal bundle, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57 (2008), no. 7, 3101–3113, DOI 10.1512/iumj.2008.57.3394. MR 2492227 (2010g:32051)
- [7] Debraj Chakrabarti and Mei-Chi Shaw, L² Serre duality on domains in complex manifolds and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 7, 3529–3554, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9947-2012-05511-5. MR 2901223
- [8] Jean-Pierre Demailly, Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry, 2009.
- Bertrand Deroin, Laminations dans les espaces projectifs complexes, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 7 (2008), no. 1, 67–91, DOI 10.1017/S1474748007000175 (French, with English and French summaries). MR 2398147 (2009e:32029)
- [10] Klas Diederich and Takeo Ohsawa, Harmonic mappings and disc bundles over compact Kähler manifolds, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 21 (1985), no. 4, 819–833, DOI 10.2977/prims/1195178932. MR 817167 (87g:32017)

- [11] _____, On the displacement rigidity of Levi flat hypersurfaces—the case of boundaries of disc bundles over compact Riemann surfaces, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 43 (2007), no. 1, 171–180. MR 2319541 (2008m:32023)
- [12] Yakov M. Eliashberg and William P. Thurston, *Confoliations*, University Lecture Series, vol. 13, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. MR 1483314 (98m:53042)
- [13] John Erik Fornæss and Erlend Fornæss Wold, Solving ∂_b on hyperbolic laminations (2011), available at arXiv:1108.2286[math.CV].
- [14] Reiko Fujita, Domaines sans point critique intérieur sur l'espace projectif complexe,
 J. Math. Soc. Japan 15 (1963), 443–473 (French). MR 0159034 (28 #2252)
- [15] Étienne Ghys, Laminations par surfaces de Riemann, Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997), Panor. Synthèses, vol. 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999, pp. ix, xi, 49–95 (French, with English and French summaries). MR 1760843 (2001g:37068)
- [16] Hans Grauert, Bemerkenswerte pseudokonvexe Mannigfaltigkeiten, Math. Z. 81 (1963), 377–391 (German). MR 0168798 (29 #6054)
- [17] Misha Gromov, Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps. I, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 2 (1999), no. 4, 323–415, DOI 10.1023/A:1009841100168. MR 1742309 (2001j:37037)
- [18] Phillip S. Harrington and Mei-Chi Shaw, The strong Oka's lemma, bounded plurisubharmonic functions and the ∂-Neumann problem, Asian J. Math. 11 (2007), no. 1, 127–139. MR 2304586 (2009m:32061)
- [19] Takashi Inaba, On the nonexistence of CR functions on Levi-flat CR manifolds, Collect. Math. 43 (1992), no. 1, 83–87. MR 1214226 (94i:32009)
- [20] Alcides Lins Neto, A note on projective Levi flats and minimal sets of algebraic foliations, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 49 (1999), no. 4, 1369–1385 (English, with English and French summaries). MR 1703092 (2000h:32047)
- [21] François Norguet, Sur les domaines d'holomorphie des fonctions uniformes de plusieurs variables complexes. (Passage du local au global.), Bull. Soc. Math. France 82 (1954), 137–159 (French). MR 0071087 (17,81c)
- [22] Takeo Ohsawa and Nessim Sibony, Bounded p.s.h. functions and pseudoconvexity in Kähler manifold, Nagoya Math. J. 149 (1998), 1–8. MR 1619572 (2000b:32062)
- [23] Takeo Ohsawa, Pseudoconvex domains in Pⁿ: a question on the 1-convex boundary points, Analysis and geometry in several complex variables (Katata, 1997), Trends Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1999, pp. 239–252. MR 1699848 (2000j:32023)
- [24] Takeo Ohsawa and Nessim Sibony, Kähler identity on Levi flat manifolds and application to the embedding, Nagoya Math. J. 158 (2000), 87–93. MR 1766573 (2001d:32055)

5.2. FURTHER QUESTIONS

- [25] Takeo Ohsawa, On the complement of Levi-flats in Kähler manifolds of dimension ≥ 3 , Nagoya Math. J. 185 (2007), 161–169. MR 2301464 (2008g:32060)
- [26] _____, On Projectively Embeddable Complex-Foliated Structures, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 48 (2012), no. 3, 735–747, DOI 10.2977/PRIMS/87. MR 2973399
- [27] Kiyosi Oka, Sur les fonctions analytiques de plusieurs variables. VI. Domaines pseudoconvexes, Tôhoku Math. J. 49 (1942), 15–52 (French). MR 0014470 (7,290a)
- [28] Kiyoshi Oka, Sur les fonctions analytiques de plusieurs variables. IX. Domaines finis sans point critique intérieur, Jap. J. Math. 23 (1953), 97–155 (1954) (French). MR 0071089 (17,82b)
- [29] Hansklaus Rummler, Quelques notions simples en géométrie riemannienne et leurs applications aux feuilletages compacts, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 2, 224– 239, DOI 10.1007/BF02566270 (French). MR 535057 (80m:57021)
- [30] J. H. Sampson, Some properties and applications of harmonic mappings, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), no. 2, 211–228. MR 510549 (80b:58031)
- [31] Dennis Sullivan, A homological characterization of foliations consisting of minimal surfaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), no. 2, 218–223, DOI 10.1007/BF02566269.
 MR 535056 (80m:57022)
- [32] Akira Takeuchi, Domaines pseudoconvexes infinis et la métrique riemannienne dans un espace projectif, J. Math. Soc. Japan 16 (1964), 159–181 (French). MR 0173789 (30 #3997)
- [33] Tetsuo Ueda, Pseudoconvex domains over Grassmann manifolds, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), no. 2, 391–394. MR 582173 (81i:32014)
- [34] _____, On the neighborhood of a compact complex curve with topologically trivial normal bundle, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 22 (1982/83), no. 4, 583–607. MR 685520 (84g:32043)