

NORM ESTIMATES AND UNIVALENCE CRITERIA FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

S. PONNUSAMY AND TOSHIYUKI SUGAWA

ABSTRACT. Norm estimates of the pre-Schwarzian derivatives are given for meromorphic functions in the outside of the unit circle and used to deduce several univalence criteria.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the set of analytic functions f in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ normalized so that $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. The set \mathcal{S} of univalent functions in \mathcal{A} has been intensively studied by many authors. It is well recognized that the set Σ of univalent meromorphic functions F in the domain $\Delta = \{\zeta : |\zeta| > 1\}$ of the form

$$(1.1) \quad F(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \zeta^{-n}$$

plays an indispensable role in the study of \mathcal{S} .

In parallel with the analytic case, we consider the set \mathcal{M} of meromorphic functions in Δ with the expansion (1.1) around $\zeta = \infty$. For some technical reason, we also consider the set \mathcal{M}_n of functions F in Σ of the form

$$F(\zeta) = \zeta + \frac{b_n}{\zeta^n} + \frac{b_{n+1}}{\zeta^{n+1}} + \cdots$$

for each nonnegative integer n . Note that $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}$.

Practically, it is an important problem to determine univalence of a given function in \mathcal{A} or in \mathcal{M} . The best known conditions for univalence are probably those involving pre-Schwarzian or Schwarzian derivatives, which are defined by

$$T_f = \frac{f''}{f'} \quad \text{and} \quad S_f = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

Date: 23 May, 2006, *File:* ps200605.tex.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C55; Secondary 33C45.

Key words and phrases. univalence criterion, pre-Schwarzian derivative.

The second author was partially supported by NBHM (DAE, India) grant and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), 17340039.

We define quantities for functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} B(f) &= \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2) \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|, \\ B^*(F) &= \sup_{|\zeta|>1} (|\zeta|^2 - 1) \left| \frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} \right|, \\ N(f) &= \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |S_f(z)|, \\ N^*(F) &= \sup_{|\zeta|>1} (|\zeta|^2 - 1)^2 |S_F(\zeta)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these quantities may take ∞ as their values. For example, if F has a pole at a finite point, then $B^*(F) = \infty$.

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $F \in \mathcal{M}$ have the relation $f(z) = 1/F(1/z)$, then we can easily see that the relation

$$(1 - |z|^2)^2 S_f(z) = (|\zeta|^2 - 1)^2 S_F(\zeta)$$

holds for $z = 1/\zeta$. In particular, we have $N(f) = N^*(F)$.

Nehari [16] proved the following univalence criteria except for the quasiconformal extension property, which is due to Ahlfors and Weill [1].

Theorem A. *Every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies $N(f) \leq 6$. Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $N(f) \leq 2$ then f must be univalent. Moreover, if $N(f) \leq 2k < 2$, then f extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. The constants 6 and 2 are best possible. The same is true for meromorphic F .*

Here and hereafter, a quasiconformal mapping g is called k -quasiconformal if its Beltrami coefficient $\mu = g_{\bar{z}}/g_z$ satisfies $\|\mu\|_\infty \leq k$.

Though $zf'(z)/f(z) = \zeta F'(\zeta)/F(\zeta)$, there is no such a simple relation between $zf''(z)/f'(z)$ and $\zeta F''(\zeta)/F'(\zeta)$, and thus, between $B(f)$ and $B^*(F)$ for $f(z) = 1/F(\zeta)$, $\zeta = 1/z$. Indeed, we have the formula

$$(1.2) \quad F'(\zeta) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^2 f'(z),$$

which leads to

$$-\frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} = 2 \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}.$$

Nevertheless, it is rather surprising that formally the same conclusion can be deduced for f and F . Compare Theorem B with Theorem C.

Theorem B. *Every $f \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfies $B(f) \leq 6$. Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies $B(f) \leq 1$ then $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, if $B(f) \leq k < 1$, then f extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. The constants 6 and 1 are best possible.*

The sufficiency of univalence and quasiconformal extendibility is due to Becker [7]. The sharpness of the constant 1 is due to Becker and Pommerenke [9]. The sharp inequality

$B(f) \leq 6$ follows from a standard inequality appearing in coefficient estimation (see, e.g., [10, Theorem 2.4]).

Theorem C. *Every $F \in \Sigma$ satisfies $B^*(F) \leq 6$. Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies $B^*(F) \leq 1$ then $F \in \Sigma$. Moreover, if $B^*(F) \leq k < 1$, then F extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. The constants 6 and 1 are best possible.*

The sufficiency of univalence and quasiconformal extendibility is due to Becker [8]. The sharpness of the constant 1 is again due to Becker and Pommerenke [9]. On the other hand, the estimate $B^*(F) \leq 6$ lies deeper. Avhadiev [4] first showed the sharp inequality $B^*(F) \leq 6$ by appealing to Goluzin's inequality (see [11, p. 139]).

Note that many authors use a different norm for the pre-Schwarzian derivative of $f \in \mathcal{A}$, namely, $\|T_f\| = \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)|T_f(z)|$, see [14], [13], [12] and [17]. By definition, we observe $B(f) \leq \|T_f\|$.

Recall that a plane domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called *hyperbolic* if $\partial\Omega$ contains at least two points. The uniformization theorem ensures existence of the (complete) hyperbolic metric $\rho_\Omega(w)|dw|$ on Ω with constant Gaussian curvature -4 . Let Ω be a hyperbolic plane domain such that $1 \in \Omega$ but $0 \notin \Omega$ and set

$$\Pi(\Omega) = \{F \in \mathcal{M} : F'(\zeta) \in \Omega \text{ for all } \zeta \in \Delta\}.$$

Set also $\Pi_n(\Omega) = \Pi(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{M}_n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In [13], the quantity

$$W(\Omega) = \sup_{w \in \Omega} \frac{1}{|w|\rho_\Omega(w)},$$

is studied and called the *circular width* of Ω . Note that the circular width can also be expressed by $W(\Omega) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)|p'(z)/p(z)|$, where $p : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is any analytic universal covering projection of \mathbb{D} onto Ω . (We do not demand the condition $p(0) = 1$.)

One of our main results in the present paper is an estimate of $B^*(F)$ for $F \in \Pi_n(\Omega)$. The proof of the following theorem will be given in Section 2.

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a hyperbolic domain such that $1 \in \Omega$ but $0 \notin \Omega$. For every $F \in \Pi_n(\Omega)$, $n \geq 0$, the inequality*

$$B^*(F) \leq C_n W(\Omega)$$

holds, where C_n is the constant given by $C_0 = 2$ and

$$(1.3) \quad C_n = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{(n+1)(1-r^2)r^{n-1}}{1-r^{2n+2}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

As we shall show later (see Proposition 5), we have $C_1 = 2$ and $1 < C_n < (n+1)/n$ for $n \geq 2$. We note that an analytic counterpart of this theorem is known and it is much simpler to prove (see [14, Theorem 4.1]);

$$B(f) \leq \|T_f\| \leq W(\Omega)$$

holds for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f'(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$.

The univalence criterion in the following is due to Aksent'ev [2] (see also [6, p. 11]). Later, Krzyż [15] gave quasiconformal extensions.

Theorem D (Aksent'ev, Krzyż). *Let $0 \leq k \leq 1$. If $F \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfies the inequality*

$$(1.4) \quad |F'(\zeta) - 1| \leq k, \quad |\zeta| > 1,$$

then F is univalent. Furthermore, if $k < 1$, then F extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. The radii 1 and k are best possible.

The above criterion implies univalence of $F \in \mathcal{M}$ when the range of F' is contained in the disk $|w - 1| < 1$. We remind the reader of the fact that the Noshiro-Warschawski theorem asserts that the condition $\operatorname{Re} f' > 0$ is sufficient for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be univalent (cf. [10, Theorem 2.16]). However, the meromorphic counterpart does not hold. Moreover, the range of F' cannot be enlarged to any disk of the form $|w - r| < r$, $r > 1$, to ensure univalence of F (Aksent'ev and Avhadiev [3], see also §4).

With the aid of Theorem 1, we have several results similar to Theorem D. The following are a couple of examples. Note that the univalence criteria in Theorems 2 and 3 for the case $n = 0$ were first given by Avhadiev and Aksent'ev [5].

Let x_m be the unique solution to the equation

$${}_2F_1\left(1, -\frac{1}{m}; 1 - \frac{1}{m}; x\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

in the interval $0 < x < 1$ for each integer $m \geq 2$ (see Section 4 for details). Put also $x_1 = x_2$.

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Suppose that a function $F \in \mathcal{M}_n$ satisfies the condition*

$$|\arg F'(\zeta)| \leq \frac{k\pi}{4C_n}, \quad |\zeta| > 1,$$

then F must be univalent. Furthermore, if $k < 1$, then F extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. As for univalence, the constant $\pi/(4C_n)$ cannot be replaced by any larger number than $(4/\pi) \arctan x_{n+1}$.

Note that $x_1 = x_2 \approx 0.4198$ and that $(4/\pi) \arctan x_1 \approx 0.506057 \approx 1.28866(\pi/8)$.

In the following univalence criterion, F' is even allowed to take values with negative real part. Let β_m be the unique solution to the equation

$$(1.5) \quad 2\beta \int_0^{\pi/4} (\cot x)^{1/m} e^{2\beta(x-\pi/4)} dx = 1$$

in $0 < \beta < \infty$ for each integer $m \geq 2$ (see Example 11 in Section 4). Set $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

Theorem 3. *Let $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Suppose that a function $F \in \mathcal{M}_n$ satisfies the condition*

$$|\log |F'(\zeta)|| \leq \frac{k\pi}{4C_n}, \quad |\zeta| > 1,$$

then F must be univalent. Furthermore, if $k < 1$, then F extends to a k -quasiconformal mapping of the extended plane. As for univalence, the constant $\pi/(4C_n)$ cannot be replaced by any larger number than $\pi\beta_{n+1}/2$.

A numerical computation gives $\pi\beta_1/2 \approx 0.719122 \approx 1.83123(\pi/8)$. These results can also be translated into those for the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ by using the relation (1.2). The proofs of the above theorems and slightly more refined results will be presented in Section 5.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let Ω be a plane domain with $1 \in \Omega$ and $0, \infty \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$ and let p be an analytic universal covering map of \mathbb{D} onto Ω with $p(0) = 1$. Let $F \in \Pi_n(\Omega)$ be given. When $n = 0$, the function F can be expressed in the form $F = F_0 + b_0$, where $F_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and b_0 is a constant, thus $F_0 \in \Pi_1(\Omega)$. Recall that $C_0 = C_1 = 2$. Therefore, we may further assume that $n \geq 1$.

Let $\omega : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $\omega(0) = 0$, be the lift of the mapping $z \mapsto F'(1/z)$ of \mathbb{D} into Ω via the covering map $p : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$, namely,

$$(2.1) \quad F'\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = p(\omega(z)), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Since $F \in \mathcal{M}_n$, it can be expressed in the form

$$F(\zeta) = \zeta + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^{-k}, \quad |\zeta| > 1,$$

we have

$$F'(1/z) = 1 - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k b_k z^{k+1} = 1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k-1) b_{k-1} z^k, \quad |z| < 1.$$

In particular, ω has a zero of at least order $n+1$ at the origin. This implies that the function $\varphi(z) = \omega(z)/z^{n+1}$ is analytic and satisfies $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ by the maximum modulus principle. We now apply the Schwarz-Pick lemma to the function φ to get

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad |z| < 1,$$

and equivalently,

$$(2.2) \quad |z\omega'(z) - (n+2)\omega(z)| \leq \frac{|z|^{2n+2} - |\omega(z)|^2}{|z|^n(1 - |z|^2)}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

In particular, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad |z\omega'(z)| \leq (n+2)|\omega(z)| + \frac{|z|^{2n+2} - |\omega(z)|^2}{|z|^n(1 - |z|^2)}, \quad |z| < 1.$$

The last inequality can be expressed by

$$(2.4) \quad (1 - |z|^2)|z|^{-1}|\omega'(z)| \leq (1 - |\omega(z)|^2)F(|z|, |\omega(z)|), \quad |z| < 1,$$

where the function $F(r, s)$ is defined by

$$F(r, s) = \frac{(n+1)(1-r^2)r^n s + r^{2n+2} - s^2}{r^{n+2}(1-s^2)}.$$

Since $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$, we see that $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|^{n+1}$ holds. We now show the following elementary result.

Lemma 4.

$$F(r, s) \leq F(r, r^{n+1}) = \frac{(n+1)(1-r^2)r^{n-1}}{1-r^{2n+2}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq r^{n+1}.$$

Proof. We first see the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}(r, s) &= \frac{1+s^2}{r^{n+2}(1-s^2)^2} \left[(n+1)r^n(1-r^2) - 2(1-r^{2n+2})\frac{s}{1+s^2} \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1+s^2}{r^{n+2}(1-s^2)^2} \left[(n+1)r^n(1-r^2) - 2(1-r^{2n+2})\frac{r^{n+1}}{1+r^{2n+2}} \right] \\ &= \frac{(1+s^2)}{r^2(1-s^2)^2(1+r^{2n+2})} G(r), \quad 0 \leq s \leq r^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

because the function $s/(1+s^2)$ is increasing in $0 < s < 1$ and $s \leq r^{n+1}$ is assumed. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} G(r) &= (n+1)(1-r^2)(1+r^{2n+2}) - 2r(1-r^{2n+2}) \\ &= (1-r^2) \left[(n+1)(1+r^{2n+2}) - 2r \sum_{j=0}^n r^{2j} \right] \\ &= (1-r^2) \left[(n+1)(1+r^{2n+2}) - r \sum_{j=0}^n (r^{2j} + r^{2n-2j}) \right] \\ &= (1-r^2) \sum_{j=0}^n \left[(1+r^{2n+2}) - r(r^{2j} + r^{2n-2j}) \right] \\ &= (1-r^2) \sum_{j=0}^n (1-r^{2j+1})(1-r^{2n+1-2j}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $(\partial F/\partial s)(r, s) > 0$ in $0 < s < r^{n+1}$, which implies the monotonicity of the function $F(r, s)$ in s . Thus the inequality $F(r, s) \leq F(r, r^{n+1})$ holds in $0 \leq s \leq r^{n+1}$. \square

We now complete the proof of Theorem 1. By taking the logarithmic derivative of the both sides of (2.1), we have the relation

$$\frac{-F''(1/z)}{z^2 F'(1/z)} = \frac{p'(\omega(z))}{p(\omega(z))} \omega'(z), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Letting $\zeta = 1/z$, we thus obtain

$$(|\zeta|^2 - 1) \left| \frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} \right| = (1 - |z|^2) |z|^{-1} \left| \frac{p'(\omega(z))}{p(\omega(z))} \right| |\omega'(z)|.$$

Recall here that C_n is nothing but the supremum of $F(r, r^{n+1})$ over $0 < r < 1$. We then make use of (2.4) and Lemma 4 to deduce the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} (|\zeta|^2 - 1) \left| \frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} \right| &\leq (1 - |\omega(z)|^2) \left| \frac{p'(\omega(z))}{p(\omega(z))} \right| F(|z|, |z|^{n+1}) \\ &\leq C_n (1 - |\omega(z)|^2) \left| \frac{p'(\omega(z))}{p(\omega(z))} \right| \\ &\leq C_n W(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of the theorem now follows.

Remark. The theorem is sharp if the relation $\rho_0 = r_0^{n+1}$ is satisfied by chance, where $r = r_0$ is the point where the maximum is attained in the definition of C_n and $r = \rho_0$ is the radius where the maximum is attained for $(1 - |z|^2)|p'(z)/p(z)|$. Let w_0 be the maximum point of $(1 - |z|^2)|p'(z)/p(z)|$ with $|w_0| = \rho_0$, and set $z_0 = r_0$. Then we choose ω_0 so that $\omega_0(z_0) = w_0$ and equalities hold in (2.2) and (2.3) at $z = z_0$ simultaneously (see the proof of Dieudonné's lemma in [10, p. 198]). Then, we actually have $B^*(F) = C_n W(\Omega)$ in this case, where F is determined by $F'(1/z) = p(\omega_0(z))$ in $|z| < 1$.

As we promised in Introduction, we give some information about the constants C_n .

Proposition 5. *The constants C_n given by (1.3) satisfy the following:*

$$(2.5) \quad C_0 = C_1 = 2, \quad C_2 = \frac{3\sqrt{6(\sqrt{13}-1)}}{5+\sqrt{13}} \approx 1.37838,$$

$$(2.6) \quad 1 < C_n < \frac{n+1}{n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof. The relations in (2.5) can be checked in a straightforward way. We omit the details. We show only (2.6). Let $n \geq 2$ and set

$$g_n(x) = \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{x^{(n-1)/2}(1-x)}, \quad x \in (0, 1).$$

Then clearly, $C_n = (n+1)/\inf_{0 < x < 1} g_n(x)$. First note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} g_n(x) = n+1.$$

Therefore, we have $C_n \geq 1$. In order to show strictness, we set $x = 1 - \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$g_n(1 - \varepsilon) = (n+1) - \frac{n+1}{2}\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that $g_n(x)$ is smaller than $n+1$ when $x < 1$ is close enough to 1. Therefore, $C_n > 1$.

We next show the reverse inequality. Since $g_n(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$, the function g_n takes its minimum at a point in $(0, 1)$. We now estimate $g_n(x)$ from below;

$$\begin{aligned}
g_n(x) &= x^{(1-n)/2} \sum_{j=0}^n x^j \\
&> x^{(1-n)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j \\
&= x^{(1-n)/2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^j + x^{n-1-j}}{2} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{j-(n-1)/2} + x^{(n-1)/2-j}}{2} \\
&\geq \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 1 = n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get the inequality $\min_{0 < x \leq 1} g_n(x) > n$, which in turn implies $C_n < (n+1)/n$. \square

3. A VARIANT OF THEOREM 1

We give a variant of Theorem 1 in the present section. In the following theorem, the condition $p(0) = 1$ for the analytic universal covering map p of \mathbb{D} onto Ω is required and the involved constant might not be computed easily, but the estimate is independent of n and better than Theorem 1 at least when $n = 0$.

Theorem 6. *Let Ω be a plane domain with $1 \in \Omega$ but $0, \infty \notin \Omega$ and let p be an analytic universal covering map of the unit disk \mathbb{D} onto Ω with $p(0) = 1$. Then, for every $F \in \Pi(\Omega)$ the inequality*

$$B^*(F) \leq 2 \sup_{|z| < 1} (1 - |z|) \left| \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} \right|$$

holds.

Proof. The proof proceeds basically in the same line as in the previous section. In order to show that the constant is really independent of n for which $F \in \Pi_n(\Omega)$ holds, we prove the assertion under the additional assumption that $F \in \Pi_n(\Omega)$ for a fixed $n \geq 1$. We replace the inequality (2.4) by

$$(3.1) \quad (1 - |z|^2)|z|^{-1}|\omega'(z)| \leq (1 - |\omega(z)|)H(|z|, |\omega(z)|), \quad |z| < 1,$$

where

$$H(r, s) = \frac{(n+1)(1-r^2)r^n s + r^{2n+2} - s^2}{r^{n+2}(1-s)}.$$

Recall here that $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|^{n+1}$ holds. Since the function $s^2 - 2s$ is decreasing in $0 < s < r^{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial H}{\partial s}(r, s) &= \frac{s^2 - 2s + (n+1)(1-r^2)r^n + r^{2n+2}}{r^{n+2}(1-s)^2} \\ &\geq \frac{r^{2n+2} - 2r^{n+1} + (n+1)(1-r^2)r^n + r^{2n+2}}{r^{n+2}(1-s)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The numerator of the last term can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &r^n [(n+1)(1-r^2) - 2r(1-r^{n+1})] \\ &= r^n(1-r) [(n+1)(1+r) - 2r(1+r+r^2+\dots+r^n)] \\ &= r^n(1-r) \sum_{j=0}^n (1+r-2r^{j+1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is now clear that $(\partial H/\partial s)(r, s) > 0$ in $0 < s \leq r^{n+1}$. Thus $H(r, s)$ is increasing in s and therefore

$$H(r, s) \leq H(r, r^{n+1}) = \frac{(n+1)(1-r^2)r^{n-1}}{1-r^{n+1}} = g(r).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g'(r) &= \frac{(n+1)r^{n-2}((n-1)(1-r^2) - 2r^2(1-r^{n-1}))}{(1-r^{n+1})^2} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)r^{n-2}(1-r)}{(1-r^{n+1})^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} [1-r^{j+2} + r(1-r^{j+1})] > 0, \end{aligned}$$

the function $g(r)$ is increasing and thus $g(r) < g(1-) = 2$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\sup_{0 < s \leq r^{n+1} < 1} H(r, s) = \sup_{0 < r < 1} g(r) = 2,$$

which is, indeed, independent of n .

The rest is same as in the previous section. We omit the details. \square

Since $1-r \leq 1-r^2 = (1+r)(1-r) \leq 2(1-r)$, the inequalities

$$\sup_{|z| < 1} (1-|z|) \left| \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \sup_{|z| < 1} (1-|z|^2) \left| \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq 2 \sup_{|z| < 1} (1-|z|) \left| \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} \right|$$

hold. Thus, when $n = 0$, the estimate in Theorem 6 is better than that in Theorem 1.

4. EXAMPLES OF NON-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

In this section, we present non-univalent meromorphic functions in the class \mathcal{M} to examine our univalence criteria given in Introduction. First, we introduce the example given by Aksent'ev and Avhadiev [3].

Example 7. Let $r > 1$ be given and set $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - r| < r\}$. For a number $c \in (0, 1/2]$, we set $\Phi = G \circ F$, where $F(\zeta) = \zeta + c/\zeta$ and $G(\zeta) = \zeta + (1 + c)^2/\zeta$. Then

$$\Phi'(\zeta) = 1 - \zeta^{-2} + c\psi(\zeta^{-2}), \quad \text{where} \quad \psi(z) = \psi_c(z) = -\frac{(c+3) - (c^2+3)z + (c^2-c)z^2}{(1+cz)^2}.$$

Note that the functions $1 - \zeta^{-2}$ and $\psi(\zeta^{-2})$ take the value 0 at $\zeta = \pm 1$. Since ψ_c is uniformly bounded in \mathbb{D} and $\psi'(1) > 0$, in order to see that $F'(\mathbb{D}) \subset \Omega$ for sufficiently small c , it is enough to check that the (signed) curvature of the curve $\theta \mapsto \psi(e^{i\theta})$ is positive at $\theta = 0$, in other words, $\operatorname{Re}(1 + z\psi''(z)/\psi'(z))/|\psi'(z)|$ is positive at $z = 1$. A direct computation gives

$$1 + \frac{z\psi''(z)}{\psi'(z)} = \frac{3 - 10c + 2(c^2 + c)z - c^2z^2}{(3 - cz)(1 + cz)},$$

which shows $\operatorname{Re}(1 + \psi''(1)/\psi'(1))/|\psi'(1)| > 0$ for a small enough $c > 0$ as required.

We see now that Φ is not univalent in Δ by observing that the two points $\pm i(1 + c + \sqrt{1 + 6c + c^2})/2$ in Δ are zeros of Φ .

The above example is qualitatively very nice but somewhat implicit because it is not simple to give a right value of c for a given $r > 1$. The next two examples are more concrete.

Example 8. We consider the function $F_m \in \mathcal{M}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(\zeta) &= \zeta - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta^{1-mj}}{mj-1} \\ &= \zeta \left({}_2F_1\left(1, -\frac{1}{m}; 1 - \frac{1}{m}; \zeta^{-m}\right) - 1 \right), \quad |\zeta| > 1, \end{aligned}$$

for each integer $m \geq 2$, where ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; x)$ stands for the hypergeometric function. Note that F_m has the m -fold symmetry

$$F_m(e^{2\pi i/m}\zeta) = e^{2\pi i/m}F_m(\zeta)$$

and belongs to the class \mathcal{M}_{m-1} . Since the function h_m defined by

$$h_m(x) = {}_2F_1\left(1, -\frac{1}{m}; 1 - \frac{1}{m}; x\right) - 1 \quad (x \in (0, 1))$$

has the properties that h_m is monotone decreasing, $h_m(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} h_m(x) = -\infty$, there is the unique point x_m such that $h(x_m) = 0$ in the interval $0 < x < 1$. Hence, the function F_m has the m zeros $e^{2\pi ij/m}x_m^{-1/m}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$, in Δ and, in particular, is not univalent in Δ . On the other hand, we have

$$F'_m(\zeta) = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \zeta^{-mj} = p(\zeta^{-m}),$$

where $p(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$. It is a standard fact that p maps the unit disk onto the right half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} w > 0\}$. Therefore, F'_m maps Δ onto \mathbb{H} in an m -to-1 way and $\operatorname{Re} F'_m > 0$ holds.

In particular, we have shown the following.

Proposition 9. *For each integer $n \geq 0$, there is a non-univalent function F in the class \mathcal{M}_n such that $\operatorname{Re} F'(\zeta) > 0$ in $|\zeta| > 1$.*

Note that the function F_2 in the above example can be expressed also by

$$F_2(\zeta) = \zeta - \log \frac{\zeta + 1}{\zeta - 1}, \quad |\zeta| > 1.$$

A numerical computation yields, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &\approx 0.419798, \\ x_3 &\approx 0.667508, \\ x_4 &\approx 0.808289. \end{aligned}$$

The above functions can be used to examine univalence criteria. Note that, for a function $F \in \mathcal{M}$, the new function

$$F^t(\zeta) = tF\left(\frac{\zeta}{t}\right), \quad |\zeta| > 1,$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$ satisfies the relation $(F^t)'(\zeta) = F'(\zeta/t)$. For instance, for $m \geq 2$, the function $F_m^t(\zeta) = tF_m(\zeta/t)$ is not univalent as far as $t^m > x_m$, because $(\zeta/t)^{-m} = x_m$ has m roots in $|\zeta| > 1$ in this case. On the other hand, $(F_m^t)'$ has the range $\{w \in \mathbb{C} : w = (1+t^m z)/(1-t^m z) \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{D}\} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - (1+t^{2m})/(1-t^{2m})| < 2t^m/(1-t^{2m})\}$. In this way, we have shown the following.

Proposition 10. *Let $\Omega_s = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - (1+s^2)/(1-s^2)| < 2s/(1-s^2)\}$ and $n \geq 1$. If $s > x_{n+1}$, then the class $\Pi_n(\Omega_s)$ contains non-univalent functions.*

Example 11. The construction is similar to that of Example 8. First note that the analytic function $((1+z)/(1-z))^{i\beta}$ gives a universal covering projection of the unit disk onto the annulus $A = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-\pi\beta/2} < |w| < e^{\pi\beta/2}\}$ for a positive constant β . Let $G \in \mathcal{M}_{m-1}$ be the function determined by the relation $G'(\zeta) = ((\zeta^m + 1)/(\zeta^m - 1))^{i\beta}$ for an integer $m \geq 2$. Then G also has the m -fold symmetry. Let $h_\beta(z) = 1/z - \int_0^z t^{m-2} q_\beta(t^m) dt$, where $((1+z)/(1-z))^{i\beta} = 1 + zq_\beta(z)$, so that $G(\zeta) = h_\beta(1/\zeta)$. Now take any root ω of the polynomial $z^m + i$ and set $\varphi(\beta) = \omega h_\beta(\omega)$. Since $1 + ixq_\beta(ix) = ((1+ix)/(1-ix))^{i\beta} = \exp(2i\beta \operatorname{arctanh}(ix)) = \exp(-2\beta \operatorname{arctan} x)$, we have for $0 < r \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega h_\beta(\omega r) &= \frac{1}{r} + \int_0^r it^{n-2} q_\beta(-it^m) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{r} - \int_0^r (\exp(2\beta \operatorname{arctan}(t^m)) - 1) t^{-2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\varphi(\beta) = 1 - \int_0^1 (\exp(2\beta \operatorname{arctan}(t^m)) - 1) t^{-2} dt.$$

Since $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi(+\infty) = -\infty$ and

$$\varphi'(\beta) = - \int_0^1 t^{-2} \operatorname{arctan}(t^m) \exp(2\beta \operatorname{arctan}(t^m)) dt < 0,$$

there exists a unique β_m such that $\varphi(\beta_m) = 0$. We now simplify the equation $\varphi(\beta) = 0$. Performing integration by parts and then setting $x = \arctan(t^m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\beta) &= e^{\pi\beta/2} - 2\beta \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{2\beta x} (\tan x)^{-1/m} dx \\ &= e^{\pi\beta/2} \left(1 - 2\beta \int_0^{\pi/4} e^{2\beta(x-\pi/4)} (\cot x)^{1/m} dx \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have arrived at the form in (1.5).

We now fix any $\beta > \beta_m$. Then $\omega h_\beta(\omega r) > 0$ for a small enough $r > 0$ whereas $\varphi(\beta) = \omega h_\beta(\omega) < 0$. Therefore, there exists an $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that $G(1/(\omega\rho)) = h_\beta(\omega\rho) = 0$. In particular, G has at least m zeros in Δ and thus is not univalent. By the above observations, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 12. *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 1$ and let $\beta > \beta_{n+1}$. Then there exists a non-univalent function $G \in \mathcal{M}_n$ such that $e^{-\pi\beta/2} < |G'(\zeta)| < e^{\pi\beta/2}$ for $|\zeta| > 1$.*

By a numerical computation, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_2 &\approx 0.457807, \\ \beta_3 &\approx 0.786518, \\ \beta_4 &\approx 1.03144.\end{aligned}$$

5. APPLICATIONS TO UNIVALENCE CRITERIA

We combine Theorem 1 or Theorem 6 with Theorem C to deduce several univalence criteria for functions in \mathcal{M} . The same method can be applied also to \mathcal{M}_n for $n \geq 1$, but we do not go into details here. In order to make statements concise, we introduce the notation $\Sigma(k)$ to designate the set of those functions in Σ which can be extended to k -quasiconformal mappings of the extended plane. For $k = 1$, simply we define $\Sigma(1) = \Sigma$ for convenience.

To examine Theorems 1 and 6, we assume Ω to be a disk containing 1 but not containing 0. Then we can express Ω as $\mathbb{D}(a, \rho) = \{w : |w - a| < \rho\}$, where $0 < \rho \leq |a|$ and $|1 - a| < \rho$. If we put $p(z) = a + \rho z$, then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}W(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)) &= \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2) \frac{\rho}{|a + \rho z|} \\ &= \sup_{0 < r < 1} (1 - r^2) \frac{\rho}{|a| - \rho r} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{|a|} \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - (\rho/|a|)r} \\ &= \frac{2\rho/|a|}{1 + \sqrt{1 - (\rho/|a|)^2}},\end{aligned}$$

where we have made a standard but tedious computation at the final step (see, for instance, [13, Lemma 4.2]). Therefore, by Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$(5.1) \quad B^*(F) \leq \frac{2C_n \rho / |a|}{1 + \sqrt{1 - (\rho/|a|)^2}}$$

for $F \in \Pi_n(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho))$. It is easy to see that the right-hand side of the last inequality is less than or equal to k if and only if $\rho/|a| \leq 4C_n k / (4C_n^2 + k^2)$. Thus we can show the following by appealing to Theorem C.

Theorem 13. *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\rho > 0$ satisfy $\rho \leq |a|$ and $|a - 1| < \rho$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\rho}{|a|} \leq \frac{4C_n k}{4C_n^2 + k^2}$$

for a constant k with $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Then $\Pi_n(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)) \subset \Sigma(k)$.

We recall that Theorem D gives the stronger assertion $\Pi(\mathbb{D}(1, k)) \subset \Sigma(k)$ when $a = 1$ and $\rho = k$.

We next consider to apply Theorem 6. It is not simple to treat the case when a is not real. Therefore, we further assume that $a > 0$ for simplicity. Then the conformal map p of \mathbb{D} onto $\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)$ with $p(0) = 1$ can be taken in the form $p(z) = (1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$, where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$. A simple computation gives us the relations $A = (\rho^2 - a^2 + a)/\rho$ and $B = (1 - a)/\rho$.

First observe the expression (see [13, Lemma 4.1])

$$W = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|) \left| \frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} \right| = \begin{cases} (A - B) \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1 - r}{(1 - Ar)(1 - Br)} & \text{if } A + B \leq 0, \\ (A - B) \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1 - r}{(1 + Ar)(1 + Br)} & \text{if } A + B \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

At any event, we can easily see that $W = A - B$. Therefore, by Theorem 6, we obtain the estimate

$$(5.2) \quad B^*(F) \leq 2(A - B) = \frac{2(\rho^2 - (a - 1)^2)}{\rho}$$

for $F \in \Pi(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho))$. In the same way as above, we have the following.

Theorem 14. *Let $a > 0$, $\rho > 0$ satisfy $\rho \leq a$ and $|a - 1| < \rho$. Suppose that*

$$\rho^2 - (a - 1)^2 \leq \frac{k\rho}{2}$$

for a constant k with $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Then $\Pi(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)) \subset \Sigma(k)$.

As an example, let us consider the disk $\Omega_s = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - (1 + s^2)/(1 - s^2)| < 2s/(1 - s^2)\}$. In this case, $A = s$, $B = -s$, and therefore,

$$\frac{4\rho/|a|}{1 + \sqrt{1 - (\rho/|a|)^2}} = 4s = 2(A - B),$$

which means that the estimates (5.1) with $n = 0$ and (5.2) are identical in this case. In particular, Theorems 13 and 14 both imply that $\Pi(\Omega_s) \subset \Sigma$ if $s \leq 1/4$. This is, however, weaker than Theorem D because $\Omega_s \subset \mathbb{D}(1, 1)$ for $s \leq 1/3$. On the other hand, Proposition 10 implies that $\Pi(\Omega_s)$ is not contained in Σ for $s > x_2 \approx 0.4198$.

However, Theorems 13 and 14 may imply the inclusion $\Pi(\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)) \subset \Sigma$ for a disk $\mathbb{D}(a, \rho)$ which is not contained in $\mathbb{D}(1, 1)$. For instance, by Theorem 14, we see that $\Pi(\mathbb{D}(3/2, 4/5)) \subset \Sigma$ but $\mathbb{D}(3/2, 4/5)$ is not a subset of $\mathbb{D}(1, 1)$. By the way, this is not implied by Theorem 13.

We next recall basic results for the values of $W(\Omega)$ for special domains Ω . We set

$$S(\alpha, \gamma) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg w - \gamma| < \pi\alpha/2\}$$

$$A(r_1, r_2) = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 < |w| < r_2\},$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty$. The domain $S(\alpha, \gamma)$ is called a sector with opening $\pi\alpha$ and vertex at 0. The domain $A = A(r_1, r_2)$ is called a round annulus centered at 0 with modulus $m = \log(r_2/r_1)$. We write $m = \text{mod } A$. Then we have the following.

Lemma 15 ([13]).

$$W(S(\alpha, \gamma)) = 2\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2,$$

$$W(A(r_1, r_2)) = \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{r_2}{r_1} = \frac{2}{\pi} \text{mod } A(r_1, r_2), \quad 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty.$$

Combining this lemma with Theorems 1 and C, we can prove the following two results. Theorems 2 and 3 are just special cases of them up to non-univalent examples, which were supplied in the previous section.

Theorem 16. *Let $0 \leq k \leq 1$. If Ω is a sector with opening $k\pi/4$ and vertex at 0 such that $1 \in \Omega$. Then, $\Pi(\Omega) \subset \Sigma(k)$.*

Theorem 17. *Let $0 \leq k \leq 1$. If Ω is a round annulus centered at 0 with modulus $k\pi/4$ such that $1 \in \Omega$. Then, $\Pi(\Omega) \subset \Sigma(k)$.*

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Ahlfors and G. Weill, *A uniqueness theorem for Beltrami equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 975–978.
2. L. A. Aksent'ev, *Sufficient conditions for univalence of regular functions* (Russian), Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika **1958** (1958), no. 3 (4), 3–7.
3. L. A. Aksent'ev and F. G. Avhadiev, *A certain class of univalent functions* (Russian), Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika **1970** (1970), no. 10, 12–20.
4. F. G. Avhadiev, *Conditions for the univalence of analytic functions* (Russian), Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika **1970** (1970), no. 11 (102), 3–13.
5. F. G. Avhadiev and L. A. Aksent'ev, *Sufficient conditions for the univalence of analytic functions* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **198** (1971), 743–746, English translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), 859–863.
6. ———, *Fundamental results on sufficient conditions for the univalence of analytic functions* (Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30** (1975), no. 4 (184), 3–60, English translation in Russian Math. Surveys **30** (1975), 1–64.
7. J. Becker, *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **255** (1972), 23–43.
8. ———, *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und Schlichtheitskriterien*, Math. Ann. **202** (1973), 321–335.
9. J. Becker and Ch. Pommerenke, *Schlichtheitskriterien und Jordangebiete*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **354** (1984), 74–94.
10. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
11. G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 26.
12. Y. C. Kim, S. Ponnusamy, and T. Sugawa, *Mapping properties of nonlinear integral operators and pre-Schwarzian derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **299** (2004), 433–447.

13. Y. C. Kim and T. Sugawa, *A conformal invariant for non-vanishing analytic functions and its applications*, to appear in Michigan Math. J.
14. ———, *Growth and coefficient estimates for uniformly locally univalent functions on the unit disk*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **32** (2002), 179–200.
15. J. G. Krzyż, *Convolution and quasiconformal extension*, Comment. Math. Helv. **51** (1976), 99–104.
16. Z. Nehari, *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 545–551.
17. S. Yamashita, *Norm estimates for function starlike or convex of order alpha*, Hokkaido Math. J. **28** (1999), 217–230.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, IIT-MADRAS, CHENNAI-600 036, INDIA

E-mail address: `samy@iitm.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA, 739-8526 JAPAN

E-mail address: `sugawa@math.sci.hiroshima-u.ac.jp`